



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/049,319	02/05/2002	Alan F. Savicki	492.216	3711

7590 09/30/2003

Thomas C Feix  
The Clorox Company  
PO Box 24305  
Oakland, CA 94623-1305

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

BRITTAIN, JAMES R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	3677

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Advisory Action</b>	Application N .	Applicant(s)
	10/049,319	SAVICKI, ALAN F.
Examiner	Art Unit	
James R. Brittain	3677	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

**PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]**

a)  The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  
 b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  
 ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1.  A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.

2.  The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:

- they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
- they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
- they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.

3.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_.  
 4.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  
 5.  The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: \_\_\_\_\_.  
 6.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  
 7.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: None.  
 Claim(s) objected to: None.  
 Claim(s) rejected: 1-17.  
 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.

8.  The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  
 9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  
 10.  Other: \_\_\_\_\_



James R. Brittain  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit: 3677

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The removal from the independent claims of the slider having a back plate and sidewalls, not removal of just the position of the separator being fixed relative to the sidewalls, is a substantial broadening of the independent claims that is a new issue at this point of the prosecution as it clearly shifts the focus of the claims to the fastening strips. Further, the description of the first flange in all independent claims as being "upper" with a "first length" extending "upward generally along said vertical z axis and a second length" which extends inward "generally along said transverse y axis" wherein the first altered flange portion is "disposed in said second length of said first upper flange portion" defines a new issue in the positioning of the "first" and "second" lengths and particularly in the positioning of the first altered flange portion in the "second" length. New issues are raised in claim 9 with regard to the added subject matter describing the second fastening strip for the same reasons as identified in the previous sentence, modified to describe the second upper flange portion and second altered flange portion. Also, while applicant has amended claim 2, line 2 by changing "first flange portion" to --first upper flange portion--, applicant has failed to make similar changes in any of claims 3-8 where similar changes are needed, thereby raising the issue of having clear antecedent basis for the term "first flange portion".